Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAR 17 2004
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

(3)

No. 03-908

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

DAN RODNEY JOOS,

Petitioner

v.

PIPER C. JOOS (MONTE),

Respondent

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
To the Utah Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR REHEARING

(Rule 34.1(f) requires Petitioner's address, but Dan is homeless w/o a phone using a family friend's address)

Dan Rodney Joos, *Pro Se Petitioner*
c/o Dr. Gene R. Fuller
2446 E. Sabal Avenue
Salt Lake City, Utah 84121
Telephone (801) 944-5870

GROUNDS

In light of recent events of anarchy sweeping this country, it is probably too late for the Supreme Court to have any role in upholding marriage, children's rights and welfare. The President of the United States has called for an Amendment to the U.S. Constitution to strengthen marriage and families. Respectfully, the Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court are asked to grant the petition for writ of certiorari in this case to establish and protect the fundamental and constitutional right of every child to be raised by both biological parents; a mother **and** a father.

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Inasmuch as the Supreme Court failed to protect innocent children from harm, the **power to define** is reserved to **the people** through their elected representatives.

The principle of government by the people, for the people and of the people is reaffirmed.

By two-thirds (2/3) vote of the congress and signature of the president, governing bodies within the United States are bound to definitions established by the people.

For failure to protect the welfare of children, Justice John Paul Stevens is removed from the Supreme Court.

ARGUMENT

Nothing is more important to civilization than the family!

This Court can defend the most vulnerable, innocent and defenseless of its citizens or watch as parents band together to change the Constitution and create new guardians to protect their children.

Examples of the effect of the proposed amendment could include:

1. "Virtual" child pornography is defined as actual child pornography.

2. Marriage is defined as the union of one man and one woman.

3. Prayer is defined as speech between people and God. Prayer is not religious speech subject to the separation of church and state.

4. When a man and woman choose a relationship that creates children, unborn human life is defined as protected life under the Constitution subject to state regulation.

5. The Ten Commandments is defined as a historical document.

6. Flag-burning and cross-burning is defined as unprotected speech.

7. **The right of every child to be raised by their own mother and father is defined as a fundamental right**.

8. Public advertising of tobacco and alcohol is defined as unprotected speech subject to state regulation.

9. Pornography is defined as harmful to children subject to state regulation.

The great movement in this nation to amend State and Federal Constitutions before the U.S. Supreme Court has addressed the issue is proof that parents have lost faith in this Court. This lack of faith is well deserved.

The U.S. Supreme Court's recent decisions are to be blamed and this Court, as are we, should be abhorred that so many people wish to amend State and Federal Constitutions before relying on this Court.

There can be no independence in the judicial branch without integrity. Americans no longer believe in the integrity of this Court because this Court fails to defend children and upholds, over and over, those who harm them.

This Court is failing to see a new generation raised who are willing to be law-abiding and grateful for what the founding fathers provided.

The spreading anarchy that appeared in San Francisco is the direct result of the decisions of this Court and Americans have every right to amend the Constitution and weaken the ability of this Court to destroy marriage and families.

When a few activist judges in Massachusetts follow this Court's lead in forgetting the principles of this republic and democracy, then the people have no choice but to rise up and stem this evil tide.

Before it is too late, this Court should use its discretion wisely and with due diligence grant the petition for writ of certiorari in this case. Make way for Utah and other state judges to establish and protect the rights of faultless children to be raised and to have substantial relationships with their biological mothers and fathers.

The U.S. Supreme Court does not feel bound by the correct principles of the Bible, yet often its justices quote and make reference to the Bible in their decisions.

The following appears in the eighteenth chapter of St Matthew: (emphasis added)

> At the same time came the disciples unto Jesus, saying, Who is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven?
>
> 2. And Jesus called a **little child** unto him, and set him in the midst of them,
>
> 3. And said, Verily I say unto you, Except ye be converted, and become as **little children,** ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven.
>
> 4. Whosoever therefore shall humble himself as this **little child,** the same is greatest in the kingdom of heaven.
>
> 5. And whoso shall receive one such **little child** in my name receiveth me.
>
> 6. But whoso shall offend one of these **little ones** which believe in me, it were better for him that a millstone were hanged about his neck, and *that* he were drowned in the depth of the sea.
>
> 7. ¶ Woe unto the world because of offences! for it must needs be that offences come; but woe to that man by whom the offence cometh!
>
> 8. Wherefore if thy hand or thy foot offend thee, cut them off, and cast *them* from thee; it is better for thee to enter into

> life halt or maimed, rather than having two hands or two feet to be cast into everlasting fire.
>
> 9. And if thine eye offend thee, pluck it out, and cast *it* from thee: it is better for thee to enter into life with one eye, rather than having two eyes to be cast into hell fire.
>
> 10. Take heed that ye despise not one of these **little ones**; for I say unto you, That in heaven their angels do always behold the face of my Father which is in heaven.

Will this Court continue to allow harm to little children because they are unable to write in law journals, file lawsuits, or otherwise stand in defense of their God-given rights?

In conclusion, in light of recent events, this Court is respectfully asked to grant this petition for writ of certiorari and take this immediate opportunity to establish and protect the right of every child to be raised and have a substantial relationship with both biological parents. Perhaps parents will not need to amend the Constitution.

(Rule 34.1(f) requires Petitioner's address, but Dan is homeless w/o a phone - Please use family Friend's address).

Dan Rodney Joos
Pro Se Petitioner
c/o Dr. Gene R. Fuller
2446 E. Sabal Ave.
Salt Lake City, Utah 84121
Telephone (801) 944-5870

CERTIFICATE
(Rule 44.2)

Petitioner certifies that this Petition for Rehearing of this Court's Order Denying Petition for Writ of Certiorari is restricted to the grounds specified in this paragraph. This petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

_____

Dan Rodney Joos, *Pro Se*